Figure 1. Consort Diagram for *Shamba Maisha*

**Enrollment**

1 Health Facility Randomized to Intervention
- Allocated to intervention arm (n=142)
- Enrolled in intervention arm (n=72)
- Did not enroll in the study (n=70)
  - Ineligible (n=68)
    - Did not meet age criteria (n=1)
    - Not on antiretroviral therapy (n=4)
    - Did not have access to land or surface water (n=22)
    - Did not believe could save down payment (n=1)
    - Did not meet food insecurity/nutritional criteria (n=1)
    - Lived outside the study area (n=21)
    - Lack of family/partner endorsement (n=6)
    - Could not be traced (n=3)
    - Other (n=9)
- Declined to participate (n=2)

1 Health Facility Randomized to Control
- Allocated to control arm (n=154)
- Enrolled in control arm (n=68)
- Did not enroll in the study (n=70)
  - Ineligible (n=86)
    - Did not meet age criteria (n=3)
    - Not on antiretroviral therapy (n=7)
    - Did not have access to land or surface water (n=28)
    - Did not believe could save down payment (n=1)
    - Did not meet food insecurity/nutritional criteria (n=6)
    - Lived outside the study area (n=4)
    - Lack of family/partner endorsement (n=0)
    - Could not be traced (n=3)
    - Other (n=34)
- Declined to participate (n=0)

**Follow-up**

Lost to follow-up (n = 2)
- Death (n=2)

Discontinued intervention (n= 4)
- Withdrawal (n=4)
  - Lack of time (n=1)
  - Family issues (n=2)
  - Loss of interest (n=1)

Lost to follow-up (n = 2)
- Death (n=1)
- Migration outside of study community (n=1)

Discontinued intervention N/A

**Analysis**

Analyzed (n=66)
- Excluded from the analysis (n=0)

Analyzed (n=66)
- Excluded from the analysis (n=0)
Figure 2. Change in Household Food Insecurity Access Scale (HFIAS) in Intervention and Control Groups
Figure 3. Change in Viral Load Suppression in Intervention and Control Groups