Supplemental Digital Content 2- Changes in federal STD prevention funding following 2014 implementation of funding formula: Percent change in funding to non-metropolitan areas with high racial/ethnic disparities in reported STDs for four disparity measures

Note: The percent change in funding compares the mean funding for the years after the implementation of the funding formula (2014-2016) to the years pre-implementation (2012 and 2013). For each of the four disparity measures, project areas were defined as “high disparity areas” if their value of the disparity measure was above the median value across all project areas. Funding was expressed in per capita terms, and was normalized for each project area by dividing by the average per-capita funding allocations across all project areas. Syphilis disparities were calculated using reported primary and secondary syphilis rates.