SDC6-Table 4. Qualitative Comparison of the Power Calculations Using Paired Two-Sample *t*-Tests from Existing RCTs Examining the Cardiometabolic Disease Biomarker Response After versus Before Acute and Chronic Dynamic Resistance Exercise Compared to After versus Before Control to Detect a Significant Difference between Dynamic Resistance Exercise versus Control to the Actual RCT Sample Size (acute *k*=6; chronic *k*=9).

Study Characteristics		Intervention Features: <u>F</u> requency, <u>I</u> ntensity, and <u>T</u> ime	Sufficient Power (No=0/Yes=+) to Detect Significant Exercise-Induced Changes							
			SBP	DBP	TRIG	GLUCOSE	INSULIN	LDL-C	HDL-C	
Acute - R	CTs ^{a, b}	_								
		(24 hr post-RE vs. baseline)								
N = 25 M 44±5 yr 23.6±2.5 kg·m ⁻²		I: 40% 1-RM T: 3 sets × 20-25 reps, 2 min	_	0						
	Night	between sets	0	0						
	24-hr	T: 10 REs	_	0						
Black, 2010) (7)	(24 hr post-RE vs. baseline)								
N = 17	Low	I: 65% 1-RM								
(12M/5W) 29.9±9.6 yr 30.4±5.6 kg·m ⁻²		T: 2-4 sets × 12-15 reps (55 min) T: 4 UB/ 4 LB REs				+	+			
	High	I: 85% 1-RM T: 2-4 sets × 6-8 reps (55 min) T: 4 UB/ 4 LB REs					0			
Teixeira, 20)11 (25)	(120 min post- vs. pre-RE)								
N = 20 (10M 26±4 yr 22.1±2 kg·l	,	I: 50% 1-RM T: 3 sets × 20 reps (30 min) T: 3 UB/ 3 LB REs	+	0						
Tibana, 201	4 (27)	(60 min post- vs. pre-RE)								
N = 13 W 35.7±7.4 yr 28.3±5.4 kg		I: 60% 1-RM T: 3 sets × 10 reps T: 3 UB/ 3 LB REs + sit-ups (15 reps)	0	0						
Tsuchiya, 2	2015 (28)	(60 min post- vs. pre-RE)								
N = 10 M 23.0±3.2 yr 23.7±6.3 kg·m ⁻²		I: 65% 1-RM T: 3-4 sets × 12 reps (60 min) T: 6 UB/ 2 LB REs			0	0	0			
	No. of Interventions (k) Sufficiently Powered for Each Cardiometabolic Biomarker ‡		1	0	0	2	1			
% of Interventions Sufficiently Powered for Each Cardiometabolic Biomarker ‡		20.0	0	0	66.7	33.3				
Chronic -	RCTs									
AbouAssi, 2	2015 (1)									
N = 38 (20N 90% WH, 8° 2% other 51.1±11.0 y 30.0±3.0 kg	% AA, ′r	~32 wk (supervised) F: 2.5 d/wk (60 min/d) I: 8-12RM (progressive) T: 3 sets × 8-12 reps T: 8 REs Adherence=83.2%				0	0			

Study	Intervention Features: <u>F</u> requency, <u>I</u> ntensity, and <u>T</u> ime	Sufficient Power (No=0/Yes=+) to Detect Significant Exercise-Induced Changes							
Characteristics		SBP	DBP	TRIG	GLUCOSE	INSULIN	LDL-C	HDL-C	
Beck, 2014 (<i>N</i> =30) ((4)								
N =15 (11M/4W) 21.1±2.3 yr 27.4±5.0 kg·m ⁻²	8 wk (supervised) F: 3 d/wk I: 60% 1-RM T: 2 sets × 8-12 reps (60 min) T: 4 UB/ 3 LB REs	0	+						
Boyden, 1993 (<i>N</i> =8	8) (9)								
<i>N</i> = 46 W 31.4±2.9 yr 22.4±2.7 kg·m ⁻²	20 wk (supervised) F: 3 d/wk I: 70% 1-RM T: 3 sets × 8 reps (60 min) T: 12 REs Adherence=85%						0		
Croymans, 2014 (N	(=36) (12)								
N = 28 M 21.5 (20.0-23.0) yr 30.9 (29.7-32.7) kg·m ⁻²	12 wk (supervised)	0							
Gelecek, 2012 (<i>N</i> =4									
N = 24 W 54.3±5.3 yr 28.0±3.7 kg·m ⁻²	12 wk (supervised) F: 3 d/wk I: 60% 1-RM T: 2 sets × 8-12 reps (50-60 min) T: 3 LB/3 UB REs	+	0						
Prabhakaran, 1999	(N=24) (22)								
N = 12 W 28.0±6.0 yr	14 wk (supervised) F: 3 d/wk I: 85% 1-RM T: 2 sets × 8 reps (45-50 min) T: 3 LB/ 5 UB REs Adherence=94%			0			0	0	
Sarsan, 2006 (<i>N</i> =46	_								
N = 26 W 42.5±10.1 yr 33.7±2.9 kg·m ⁻²	12 wk (supervised) F: 3 d/wk I: 40-80% 1-RM (progressive) T: 1-3 sets × 10 reps T: 3 LB/ 3 UB REs	+	+						
Tibana, 2014 (26)									

Study Characteristics	Intervention Features: <u>F</u> requency, <u>I</u> ntensity, and <u>T</u> ime	Sufficient Power (No=0/Yes=+) to Detect Significant Exercise-Induced Changes							
		SBP	DBP	TRIG	GLUCOSE	INSULIN	LDL-C	HDL-C	
N = 13 W 35.7±7.4 yr 28.3±5.4 kg·m ⁻²	~8 wk (supervised) F: 3 d/wk I: 60% 1-RM T: 3 sets × 10 reps (~30 min) T: 7 REs Adherence=>85%	-	0						
Zavanela, 2012 (<i>N</i> =	=96) (30)								
N = 48 M 25.4±4.0 kg·m ⁻²	24 wk (<i>supervised</i>) F: 3-4 d/wk I: 10-12RM (<i>progressive</i>) T: 3 sets ×8-12 reps T: 11-16 REs	+	+						
No. of Interventions (k) Sufficiently Powered for Each Cardiometabolic Biomarker ‡		3	3	0	0	0	0	0	
% of Interventions Sufficiently Powered for Each Cardiometabolic Biomarker ‡		50.0	60.0	0	0	0	0	0	
Summary of No. of Acute and Chronic RE Interventions (k) Sufficiently Powered for Each Cardiometabolic Biomarker ‡		4	3	0	2	1	0	0	
Summary of the % of Acute and Chronic RE Interventions Sufficiently Powered for Each Cardiometabolic Biomarker ‡		36.6	30.0	0	50.0	33.3	0	0	
Summary of No. of Acute and Chronic AE and RE Interventions (k) Sufficiently Powered for Each Cardiometabolic Biomarker ‡		7	4	3	3	7	0	4	
Summary of the % of Acute and Chronic AE and RE Interventions Sufficiently Powered for Each Cardiometabolic Biomarker ‡		26.9	22.2	30.0	33.3	43.8	0	40.0	

Note. Statistics are summarized as Trials are presented as being sufficient (+), not sufficiently (0) powered or (-) data not available for power calculations. Gray shading=Data not available for power calculations. Blue shading=Cardiometabolic response was more favorable for Control vs. RE; N could not be calculated. Trials were considered to be sufficiently powered when the reported study N met or exceeded the estimated N to detect exercise induced changes in cardiometabolic disease biomarkers. Abbr. AE=aerobic exercise. AA=African American/Black. DBP=Diastolic blood pressure. HDL-C=High-density lipoprotein cholesterol. LB=Lower body. LDL-C=Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol. k=Number of study groups. M=Men. N=Sample size. RCT=Randomized controlled trial. RE=Resistance exercise. Reps=Repetitions. SBP=Systolic blood pressure. Trig=Triglycerides. UB=Upper body. W=Women. WH=White/Caucasian. Acute studies were supervised; only Intensity and Time are quantified (i.e., Frequency=1 d/wk). Details regarding the cardiometabolic biomarker response to AE are provided in parentheses (i.e., timing of post-assessment, min or hr; mean change calculation). Full references appear in SDC 7.