The reference numbers are incorrect in the second paragraph on page 229. The paragraph should read as follows:

The correlations we observed were higher than in other studies investigating the validity of recalled adolescent diet. For example, Wolk et al\textsuperscript{16} reported modest nutrient correlations (average $r = 0.27$) when comparing adolescent diet remembered by adult participants with recalled reports from their adult siblings about their own diet. Moreover, Dwyer et al\textsuperscript{17} found lower correlations (median $r = 0.12$) comparing recalled foods eaten at age 18 from a FFQ with original diet histories, which were rather crude measures. Our results, however, are consistent with the many studies addressing the validity of adult diet, recalled 11 to 24 years in the past (range of average nutrient correlations for these studies, 0.23–0.59),\textsuperscript{18–21} which have also been reviewed elsewhere.\textsuperscript{22,23}